

pArgoSAT – Parallelization of Boolean Constraint Propagation in DPLL-based SAT solvers

Milan Banković Filip Marić
{milan,filip}@matf.bg.ac.rs

Department of Computer Science
Faculty of Mathematics
University of Belgrade

5th Workshop on Formal and Automated Theorem Proving and Applications. Belgrade 2012.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Basic architecture
- 3 BCP parallelization
- 4 Experimental results
- 5 Further work

Introduction

Our approach to parallelization:

- targets multiprocessor systems with **shared memory** (including modern **multi-core** processors and other **SMP** systems)
- exploits the facts that most of the processing time in modern SAT/SMT solvers is spent in **boolean constraint propagation (BCP)** and **theory propagation** (over 80% for DPLL-based SAT solvers)
- **event-driven system** organized around **thread pools** processing the **events** in a parallel fashion
- **events** correspond to changes of the solver's **state** (e.g. adding literals to the **assertion trail**)
- each event is processed by executing appropriate **tasks**.

Related work

Related work:

- Similar approaches exist, but are not exploited as other parallelization approaches (**divide-and-conquer**, **portfolio**)
- **Zhao, Moskewicz, Madigan, Malik**: Accelerating boolean satisfiability through application specific processing. 2001.
- **Norbert Manthey**. Parallel SAT Solving - Using More Cores. 2011. (based on **clause set dividing**)
- **SMT not considered.**

Basic architecture

The main parts of the solver:

- **dispatcher** (handles decides, conflict analysis, backjumping, learning, forgetting, restarting)
- a **theory solver** for each theory (handles conflict detection, theory propagation and explaining)
- a special case: **boolean theory**, defined by **clauses** of the formula (BCP being its theory propagation)
- a **thread pool** for each theory (consisting of one or more **threads** processing the events)

Basic architecture

Two level of parallelization:

- **high-level**: theory solvers for different theories can **run in parallel**, since their tasks are independant
- **low-level**: specific theory decision procedures can be further parallelized (must be **multi-thread** aware)

BCP parallelization

So far, we implemented:

- a simple **dispatcher**
- a **multi-thread aware** theory solver for **boolean theory**, based on **two-watched-literals** scheme
- adding a **literal** to the **assertion trail** triggers the processing of the corresponding **watch-list**
- if more than one thread is in the pool, **multiple literals** (**watch-lists**) can be processed at the same time

Experimental results

	Computer 1		Computer 2		Computer 3	
	1	2	1	2	1	2
Instance 1	37s	21s	10s	18s	25s	23s
Instance 2	13m44s	7m21s	3m50s	7m48s	9m4s	8m31s
Instance 3	28s	20s	12s	31s	21s	18s
Instance 4	12s	8s	3s	6s	9s	7s

Further work

Our plans:

- implementation of **missing features** in the dispatcher
- implementation of other **theory solvers** (to become an SMT solver)
- further **optimization** and **evaluation**

THANK YOU :)