

The Interaction of Representation and Reasoning

Alan Bundy
Joint work with Boris Mitrovic

School of Informatics,
University of Edinburgh

Belgrade 16th May 2013



Outline

- 1 The Need for Language Repair
- 2 The Reformation Algorithm
- 3 Discussion



Repairing Faulty Theories

KnowItAll Ontology:

$cap_of(Tokyo, Japan)$ $cap_of(Kyoto, Japan)$

Proof of inconsistency:

$$\frac{\text{Tokyo} \neq \text{Kyoto}, \quad \frac{\text{cap_of}(Tokyo, Japan), \quad \text{cap_of}(x, z) \wedge \text{cap_of}(y, z) \implies x = y}{\text{cap_of}(Kyoto, Japan), \quad \text{cap_of}(y, Japan) \implies \text{Tokyo} = y}}{\text{Tokyo} = \text{Kyoto}}}{\square}$$

Reformation repair:

Block unification of $cap_of(Kyoto, Japan)$ and
 $cap_of(y, Japan)$,

e.g., change $cap_of(Kyoto, Japan)$ to
 $was_cap_of(Kyoto, Japan)$,

or add time argument to cap_of , e.g., $present$, $past$.



Repairing Planning Failures

Plan failure in ORS: Mismatch of

$pay(pa, \$200, spa)$ and $pay(pa, \$200, spa, credit_card)$

Reformation repair: Unblock failed unification.

Change planning agent's $pay/3$ to $pay/4$.



Repairing Physics Theories

Where's My Stuff Trigger:

$$O_1 \vdash f(\text{stuff}) = v_1$$

$$O_2 \vdash f(\text{stuff}) = v_2$$

$$O_{arith} \vdash v_1 \neq v_2$$

Proof of Inconsistency:

$$\frac{v_1 \neq v_2, \quad \frac{f(\text{stuff}) = v_2, \quad \frac{f(\text{stuff}) = v_1, \quad y = x \wedge y = z \implies x = z}{f(\text{stuff}) = z \implies v_1 = z}}{v_1 = v_2}}{\square}$$

Reformation Repair:

Block unification of $f(\text{stuff}) = v_2$ and $f(\text{stuff}) = z$.
 e.g., rename two occurrences of *stuff* apart.



Example: Repairing a Faulty Proof of Cauchy's

Faulty Theorem: The limit of a convergent series of continuous functions is itself continuous [Cauchy].

Counter-Example: Square wave (discontinuous) is convergent sum of sine waves (continuous) [Fourier].

Failed unification:

$$y \geq y \text{ and } n \geq m(\epsilon/3, x + b(\delta(\epsilon/3, x, n)))$$

due to an occurs check failure,
where m , δ and b are Skolem function.

Repair: Change 'convergent' to 'uniformly convergent'.

Convergent:

$$\forall x. \forall \epsilon > 0. \exists m. \forall n \geq m. \left| \sum_{i=m}^n f_i(x) \right| < \epsilon$$

Uniformly Convergent:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0. \exists m. \forall x. \forall n \geq m. \left| \sum_{i=m}^n f_i(x) \right| < \epsilon$$

Note that $\forall x$ is moved to after $\exists m$.

The Standard Unification Algorithm

| Case | Before | Condition | After |
|-----------------|---|------------------------------|--|
| <i>Trivial</i> | $s \equiv s \wedge E; \sigma$ | | $E; \sigma$ |
| <i>Decomp</i> | $f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \equiv f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \wedge E; \sigma$ | | $s_1 \equiv t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_n \equiv t_n \wedge E; \sigma$ |
| <i>Clash</i> | $f(s_1, \dots, s_m) \equiv g(t_1, \dots, t_n) \wedge E; \sigma$ | $f \neq g \vee m \neq n$ | fail |
| <i>Orient</i> | $t \equiv x \wedge E; \sigma$ | | $x \equiv t \wedge E; \sigma$ |
| <i>Occurs</i> | $x \equiv s \wedge E; \sigma$ | $x \in V(s) \wedge x \neq s$ | fail |
| <i>Var Elim</i> | $x \equiv s \wedge E; \sigma$ | $x \notin V(s)$ | $E\{x/s\}; \sigma \oplus \{x/s\}$ |

- Adapted from [Baader & Snyder, 2001][p455].
- Returns unique most-general unifier.



The Modified Unification Algorithm

| Case | Before | Condition | After |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| CC_s | $f(s_1, \dots, s_m) \equiv$ | $f = g \wedge n = m$ | $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n s_i \equiv t_i \wedge E; \sigma$ |
| CC_f | $g(t_1, \dots, t_n) \wedge E; \sigma$ | $f \neq g \vee n \neq m$ | fail |
| VC_f | $x \equiv t \wedge E; \sigma$ | $x \in \mathcal{V}(t)$ | fail |
| VC_s | or $t \equiv x \wedge E; \sigma$ | $x \notin \mathcal{V}(t)$ | $E\{x/t\}; \sigma \oplus \{x/t\}$ |
| $VV_ =$ | $x \equiv x \wedge E; \sigma$ | | $E; \sigma$ |
| VV_{\neq} | $x \equiv y \wedge E; \sigma$ | $x \neq y$ | $E\{x/y\}; \sigma \oplus \{x/y\}$ |

- Equivalent to standard unification algorithm.
- Groups compound/compound and variable/compound cases into success/fail.



The Reformation Algorithm

| Case | Input Problem | Condition | Block | Unblock |
|--------|--|---------------------------|---|--|
| CC_s | $f(s_1, \dots, s_m) \equiv$ $g(t_1, \dots, t_n) \wedge E$ | $f = g \wedge m = n$ | Make $f \neq g \vee m \neq n$ $\bigvee_{i=1}^n \text{Block } s_i \equiv t_i$ $\vee \text{Block } E$ | $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \text{Unblock } s_i \equiv t_i$ $\wedge \text{Unblock } E$ |
| CC_f | | $f \neq g \vee m \neq n$ | No action | Make $f = g \wedge m = n$ $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \text{Unblock } \nu(s_i) \equiv \nu(t_i)$ $\wedge \text{Unblock } \nu(E)$ |
| VC_f | $x \equiv t \wedge E$ or $t \equiv x \wedge E$ | $x \in \mathcal{V}(t)$ | No action | Make $x \notin \mathcal{V}(t)$ $\wedge \text{Unblock } \nu(E\{x/t\})$ |
| VC_s | | $x \notin \mathcal{V}(t)$ | Make $x \in \mathcal{V}(t)$ $\vee \text{Block } E\{x/t\}$ | Unblock $E\{x/t\}$ |

- Adapts modified unification algorithm.
- Flips success and failure cases to block/unblock unification.
- Blocking is a disjunction; unblocking a conjunction.
- Implemented and evaluated in SWI Prolog.



Propagating Repairs

- Propagate changes to ancestor axioms.

$$\frac{\frac{Q, \neg Q' \vee L_1}{L_1[e_1]\sigma_q} \quad \frac{R, \neg R' \vee \neg L_2[e_2]}{\neg L_2[e_2]\sigma_r}}{\square}$$

$$\frac{\frac{Q, \neg Q' \vee L_1}{L_1[e_1]\sigma_q} \quad \frac{R, \neg R' \vee \neg L_2[\nu(e_2)]\sigma_r\bar{\sigma}_r}{\neg L_2[\nu(e_2)]\sigma_r}}{\text{Blocked}}$$

- Can we insist one parent is an axiom?
- Refinement requires decisions.
 - Which instances of functions/predicates are renamed, e.g., *cap_of* or *was_cap_of*?
 - What values are given to new arguments, e.g., *cap_of(London, UK, ...)*?



Boris Mitrovic's UG4 Project

- Extended reformation of single-sorted and multi-sorted logics.
 - Repairs now include splitting and merging of sorts.
 - Plus reorganisation of sort hierarchy.
- Exhaustively generate theorems in theory:
 - Repair any inconsistencies found.
- Identified heuristics to prune search space,
 - e.g., some functions/predicates protected, such as $=$, $+$, etc.



Search Space Control

- Huge search space: many possible repairs for every unwanted unification.
- Need heuristics to prune and prioritise.
 - Protect some functions/predicates.
 - Keep repairs minimal.
 - Maximise blocked inconsistencies; minimise blocked truths.



Conclusion

- Language repair essential in many applications.
- Reformation is general-purpose algorithm.
- Repairs must be propagated from chosen reformation suggestion to all axioms.
- Huge search space requires heuristic control.
- Explore extensions to other logics, e.g., DL.





Baader, F. and Snyder, W.
(2001).

Unification theory.

In Robinson, J. A. and Voronkov, A., (eds.), *Handbook of Automated Reasoning, Volume 1*, volume I, chapter 8, pages 447–553. Elsevier.

